IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY LAROCHELLE, et al. :

:

Plaintiffs, :

: CIVIL ACTION

v.

: 12-CV-5567

WILMAC CORPORATION, et al.

:

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 27th day of September, 2016, upon consideration of defendants' motions for summary judgment (Doc. Nos. 64, 65, 66, 67), plaintiffs' responses thereto (Doc. Nos. 76, 77, 84, 85), defendants' statements of undisputed facts (Doc. Nos. 64-2, 65-2, 66-2, 67-2), plaintiffs' counter-statements of undisputed facts (Doc. Nos. 76-2, 77-1, 84-2, 85-4), and the parties' reply and sur-reply briefs (Doc. Nos. 90, 91, 93, 94, 102, 103, 107, 108), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that defendants' motions for summary judgment are **GRANTED** in part, and **DENIED** in part.

Defendants' motions for summary judgment are **DENIED** as to: (1) plaintiff Mary LaRochelle's Title VII retaliation claim; (2) plaintiff Nicole Vasquez's Title VII retaliation claim; and (3) plaintiff Emilia Shearer's ADA retaliation claim. Defendants' motions for summary judgment are **GRANTED** as to all other claims.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.